

**MINUTES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

December 8, 1999

DIVISION SIX

Court convened at 9:00 A.M.

Present: Gilbert, P.J., Yegan, J., Coffee, J., and G. Bents, Deputy Clerk.

B123989 Brockman
 v.
 Kaye

Merits:
Argument waived by appellant and argued by Mala Mukherji for
respondent. Cause submitted.

B129780 Potter
 v.
 Medtronic

Merits:
Argued by Phillip R. Newell for appellant and by Roy M. Brisbois for
respondent. Cause submitted.

B128097 Townsend
 v.
 EMC Mortgage Corp

Merits:
Argued by Joseph W. Fairfield for appellant, by T. Robert Finlay for
respondent EMC Mortgage Corp., et al., and by Erich E. Foch for
respondent Moffett. Cause submitted.

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B128443 Schwartz
 v.
 Investec Construction, Inc.

Merits:
Argued by Jeffrey A. Holcomb for appellant and by Peter A. Umoff for respondent. Cause submitted.

B129353 Horowitz
 v.
 100 Carrillo Street Investors

Merits:
Argued by Louis R. Miller for appellants and by Mark A. Borenstein for respondent. Cause submitted.

B129403 Coalition to Save General Hospital
 v.
 San Luis Obispo County

Merits:
Argued by Shane D. Kramer for appellants and by Rita L. Sciaroni, deputy county counsel, for respondent. Cause submitted.

B122505 & Iarikova
B126044 v.
 Iarikov

Merits:
Argued by appellant Serguei Iarikov appearing in propria persona, and by respondent Natalia Iarikova appearing in propria persona. Respondent waived argument in B126044 pursuant to Rule 17(b), Calif. Rules of Court. Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 10:55 A.M.

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

Court reconvened at 1:30 P.M.

Present: Gilbert, P.J., Yegan, J., Coffee, J., and G. Bents, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B131301 Dept. of Social Services v. Donovan J.

B132064 Zampese v. Zampese

B135325 Robert C. v. San Luis Obispo Co. Superior Court

B135624 Leeann F. v. San Luis Obispo Co. Superior Court

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B131867 Marshall
 v.
 Marshall

Merits:

Argued by Larry E. Wasserman for appellant and argument waived by respondent. Cause submitted.

B129977 Dept. of Social Services
 v.
 Susan G.

Merits:

Argued by Marin Williamson for appellant and by Patricia Stevens, deputy county counsel, for respondent. Cause submitted.

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B131872 Stockdill
 v.
 Johnson

Merits:

Argued by Brian A. Pierik for appellants City of Santa Paula and Santa Paula Redevelopment Agency, by William H. Hair for appellant Johnson, and by Richard L. Francis for respondent Stockdill. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned at 2:30 P.M.

DIVISION SEVEN

B129139 Friends of Sierra Madre (Certified for Publication)
 v.
 City of Sierra Madre

The judgment is reversed and on remand the trial court is directed to deny the petition for writ of mandate on the Elections Code violation claim and to grant the petition for writ of mandate on the CEQA violation claim. The parties are to bear their own costs on appeal.

Lillie, P.J.

We concur: Johnson, J.
 Woods, J.

B128339 People (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Escoto

The judgment is affirmed.

Johnson, J.

We concur: Lillie, P.J.
 Woods, J.

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

[illegible]

The judgments are affirmed and the cause is remanded to the trial court with direction to state in a minute order its reasons for dismissing allegations of defendants' prior felonies.

Johnson, J.

We concur: Lillie, P.J.
Woods, J.

B122522 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Finley

The judgment is affirmed.

Johnson, Acting P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.
Neal, J.

B124194 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Cordero et al.

The judgment is affirmed.

Neal, J.

I concur: Lillie, P.J.
I dissent: Woods, J. (Opinion)

December 8, 1999-Continued

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

B129529 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Chenoweth

The judgment is affirmed.

Woods, J.

We concur: Lillie, P.J.
Johnson, J.

B121975 Mahallati (Not for Publication)
v.
Bank of American Corporation

The judgment is affirmed. Respondents are entitled to their costs incurred in connection with this appeal.

Woods, J.

We concur: Lillie, P.J.
 Johnson, J.

B129717 People
v.
Talamantez

Filed order modifying opinion. (No change in the judgment)